## Cahoy Dec. Ex. 73

```
Page 1
                    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
              FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 2
 3
                       SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
 4
5
        IN RE: DA VINCI SURGICAL
        ROBOT ANTITRUST LITIGATION
 6
7
        THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:
                                      )
                                        Lead Case No. 3:21-cv-03825-VC
        ALL CASES
 8
9
        SURGICAL INSTRUMENT SERVICE
        COMPANY, INC.,
10
                  Plaintiff,
                                        Case No. 3:21-cv-03496-VC
11
             vs.
12
        INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC.,
13
                  Defendant.
14
15
          ** HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY **
16
17
                  REMOTE VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
                      NICKOLA "NICKY" GOODSON
18
                     IN HER INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY
                AND AS 30(B)(6) WITNESS ON BEHALF OF
19
                      INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC.
                     Thursday, October 27, 2022
20
                              Volume I
21
22
        Reported by:
        NADIA NEWHART
        CSR No. 8714
23
        Job No. 5535694
24
        PAGES 1 - 265
25
```

## Case 3:21-cv-03496-AMO Document 137-52 Filed 04/13/23 Page 3 of 7 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

	Page 232
1	THE WITNESS: Again, I was not close enough
2	to the details to speak truthfully to that.
3	BY MR. CORRIGAN:
4	Q What EndoWrists did the extended life program
5	apply to? 03:39:49
6	MS. CAHOY: Objection to form.
7	THE WITNESS: The extended life program was
8	applicable to a certain set of Xi instruments. Per
9	the prior document reviewed or, sorry, per this
10	document reviewed, you can see on the attachment, a 03:40:18
11	list of Cardiere, ProGrasp, FBF and MSCND.
12	BY MR. CORRIGAN:
13	Q Why didn't the extended life program apply to
14	other Xi EndoWrists?
15	MS. CAHOY: Objection to form; foundation. 03:40:41
16	THE WITNESS: Could you restate the question?
17	BY MR. CORRIGAN:
18	Q You mentioned some X some Xi EndoWrists
19	that the extended life program did apply to. Why
20	did it not apply to other Xi EndoWrists? 03:40:54
21	MS. CAHOY: Same objections.
22	THE WITNESS: Yeah, please rephrase the
23	question.
24	BY MR. CORRIGAN:
25	Q Well, why didn't why didn't the extended 03:41:05

	Page 233
1	life program apply to all EndoWrists?
2	A To all EndoWrists. Okay.
3	The extended life program focused on
4	instruments that had incremental design changes
5	along the way to substantiate reassessment of the 03:41:23
6	life capability.
7	Q And you didn't think you could increase the
8	life on the EndoWrist that didn't meet those
9	specifications, correct?
10	A There was not an indication to increase lives 03:41:41
11	on Xi instruments that had not been updated since
12	launch.
13	Q You didn't think the Xi instruments that had
14	not been updated since launch could go past the
15	number of uses, particularly ten uses, right? 03:41:55
16	A There was no evidence to say the instruments
17	that had not been updated would go beyond the
18	already rated life they were tested to.
19	Q Why didn't why didn't Intuitive test those
20	instruments to see if they could go above the 03:42:17
21	allotted usage on the counter?
22	A The testing that was done to establish the
23	rated life on the counter was the testing that
24	that informed the count number.
25	Q So if the if the count number was ten on 03:42:41

	Page 234
1	an Xi, you had no testing that could suggest that it
2	would go that it could go above ten, right?
3	A That is correct.
4	Q Why weren't S and Si instruments part of the
5	extended life program? 03:43:03
6	MS. CAHOY: Objection to form; foundation.
7	THE WITNESS: Again, I believe I responded
8	earlier that the Xi was the platform of the that
9	was the growing platform. As such, focus on Xi
10	instruments and the latest technology was the the 03:43:38
11	main driver.
12	BY MR. CORRIGAN:
13	Q So Intuitive did not think that the S and
14	Si's could go above ten uses, correct?
15	MS. CAHOY: Objection to form; foundation. 03:44:04
16	THE WITNESS: Yes. Given that S and Si were
17	validated for the market prior to my involvement, I
18	cannot speak accurately to that.
19	BY MR. CORRIGAN:
20	Q And S and Si's had been set at ten uses 03:44:27
21	before you even got to Intuitive, correct?
22	A Correct.
23	Q And they remained at ten uses when they were
24	discontinued, correct?
25	MS. CAHOY: Objection to form; foundation. 03:44:39

## Case 3:21-cv-03496-AMO Document 137-52 Filed 04/13/23 Page 6 of 7 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY

	Page 235
1	THE WITNESS: I am unaware, through my
2	personal knowledge, of any changes to the the
3	Si's life count.
4	BY MR. CORRIGAN:
5	Q I asked you before, but let me let me ask 03:45:08
6	again.
7	What what were the technical advancements
8	done to the Xi's which led Intuitive to think they
9	could be part of the extended life program?
10	MS. CAHOY: Objection to form. 03:45:24
11	THE WITNESS: Again, it was instrument-to-
12	instrument dependent, and I do not have a a
13	ledger recollection of all the changes from
14	instrument to instrument.
15	BY MR. CORRIGAN: 03:45:38
16	Q Do you recall any of them?
17	A Yes.
18	Q What ones?
19	A I recall the LND cables being updated to
20	electropolishing. 03:46:04
21	Q Could you repeat that? Electric cables and
22	then what?
23	A Sorry. I recall the LND, the Xi LND cables
24	being updated to include electropolishing.
25	Q What is electropolishing? 03:46:31

Page 262 I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify: That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place herein set forth; that any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings, prior to testifying, were placed under oath; that a record of the proceedings was made by me using machine shorthand which was thereafter transcribed under my direction; further, that the foregoing is an accurate transcription thereof. I further certify that I am neither financially interested in the action nor a relative or employee of any attorney of any of the parties. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date subscribed my name. Dated: November 11, 2022 Nadia Newhart

NADIA NEWHART

CSR No. 8714

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25